The Semantics of Prenominal Possessives in Russian. Maria Gepner (Bar Ilan University) mariia.gepner@gmail.com

This paper will discuss prenominal possessives in Russian, like those in (1):

1. a. mamINa podruga mother.poss.F.SG friend.F.SG

(my) mother's friend

b. soldatOVo ružje soldier.poss.N.SG gun.N.SG

the/a soldier's gun

Prenominal possessives are formed by attaching one of two suffixes -in- or -ov- to nouns as in (1). These denote animate objects: proper names (2a), kinship terms (2b), animal nouns (2c) and professions (2d) (as noted in Babyonyshev 1997):

2. a. vasina kniga c. koškina igruška vasja.poss.F.SG book.F.SG cat.poss.F.SG toy
Vasja's book the cat's toy

b. papin telefon d. aktrisino platje father.poss.M.SG telephone actress.poss.N.SG dress

father's telephone the actress' dress

Prenominal possessives agree in gender, number and case with the head noun that always has a singular reference (Townsend 1980, Koptjevskaja-Tamm and Shmelev 1994):

3. a. papin/y kluč/i b. #roditeliny kluči father.poss.M.SG/PL keys.M.SG/PL dad's key/s b. #roditeliny kluči parents.poss.PL keys.PL the parents' keys

Babyonyshev (1997) discusses the puzzling property of prenominal possessives, namely that they make reference to individual, the possessor, which can be the antecedent of a deictic pronoun (4):

4. tanin_i košelek ležal na stole. Ona_i opjat ego zabyla Tanya.poss.M.SG purse lay on table. She again him forgot Tanya's purse was lying on the table. She left it at home again.

She analyzes prenominal possessives as determiners with a nominal base that have undergone N-to-D raising, following Longobardi 1994 in assuming that the D position is associated with reference. I argue that prenominal possessives are adjectives and not determiners. Discussion about determiners in Russian is particularly difficult because in the absence of indefinite and definite articles, there are so few clear candidates for lexical determiners. However, the following data strongly suggests that prenominal possessives in Russian are adjectival.

A. Prenominal possessives agree with the head noun in number, gender and case:

5. a. sosedkinoj sobaki b. sosedkinu sobaku neighbor.poss.F.SG.GEN dog.F.SG.GEN the neighbor's dog b. sosedkinu sobaku neighbor.poss.F.SG.ACC the neighbor's dog

- B. Examples like (1) can be either definite or indefinite (data in talk).
- C. They can permute with other adjectives unlike quantifiers (každyj "every") but like 'indexical adjectives' etot/eta/eto "this":
 - 6. a. mamina novaja rabota d. #novaja každaja rabota mom.poss.F.SG new new every job job mom's new job e. eta novaja kniga b. novaja mamina rabota this new book mom.poss.F.SG job f. novaja eta kniga new mom's new job new this book
 - c. každaja novaja rabota everv new job

D. They can be arguments of quantifiers (každyj "every")

7. každaja mamina rabota every mom.poss.F.SG job every mom's job

E. They can be sentential predicates, again unlike determiners (8a) vs. (8b):

- 8. a. gosti vošli v komnatu. Eto byli petiny druzja guests entered in room. This were petja.poss.PL friends The guests entered the room. They were Petja's friends.
 - b. gosti vošli v komnatu. Eto byl *každyj drug guests entered in room. This was every friend The guests entered the room. This was *every friend

Landman (2003), argues that appearing in this position is evidence that a nominal is a predicate, using the contrast between *the guests were two boys* and *#the guests were every boy* to argue that *two* and *two guests* are predicates in English, and that *two* is an adjective.

F. Genitive of Negation. Given that it is so difficult to identify determiners in Russian, the most important argument comes from the interaction of prenominal possessives with the genitive of negation. It is well known that in Russian verbs under negation can take arguments in Accusative or Genitive case. (Timberlake 1975, Babby 1980, Neidle 1982). Genitive NPs get non-specific/indefinite interpretation, while Accusative NPs tend to be interpreted as specific/definite. Partee and Borschev (2004), Partee (2008), Kagan (2005, 2007, 2013) and Khrizman (2014) explain this semantic contrast by arguing that NPs in genitive case are predicative expressions at type <e,t>,while accusative NPs are arguments at type e or <<e,t>,t>. This makes a prediction: if prenominal possessives are determiners, they should head DPs at the argument type <<e,t>,t>, and should not occur in the genitive under the scope of negation. However, this is not the case. In (9a) maminy sovety is in the accusative and gets a specific interpretation at the argument type. It means "the pieces of advice that my mother gave me". The Genitive NP in (9b) gets a non-specific interpretation, the sentence roughly means "I did not listen to any pieces of advice that my mother gave me", as predicted by Partee (2008) and others. This strongly suggests that it cannot be an argument at type <<e,t>,t> since, as Partee shows, the non-specific interpretation follows from the fact that the genitive is a predicative NP. This means that the prenominal possessive is not a determiner, but an adjective which is part of the NP.

9. a. ja ne slušala maminy sovety

I not listen mom.poss.PL.ACC advice.PL.ACC

I did not listen to my mother's advice

b. ja ne slušala maminyx sovetov

I not listen mom.poss.PL.GEN advice.PL.GEN

I did not listen to my mother's advice

As show in the talk, prenominal possessives also appear in genitive case in other positions which are argued to be predicative, e.g, the complement of *na*- and *po*- prefixed verbs (Filip 2004).

Semantics: Prenominal possessives are adjectival modifiers. We assume that the possessive morpheme expresses an operation, which maps individuals and a relation onto a predicate: -in-/-ov-: $\lambda y \lambda R \lambda x. R(x,y)$. This function first applies to an individual to form a prenominal possessive: PetIN "Petja's" $-\lambda R \lambda x. R(x,p)$ that can straightforwardly combine with relational nouns, e.g. mama 'mother' to derive a predicate Petina mama "Petja's mother": $\lambda R \lambda x. R(x,p)$ ($\lambda y \lambda x. MOTHER(x,y)$)= $\lambda x. MOTHER(x,p)$. Sortal nouns undergo a meaning shift to a relational interpretation $\lambda x. CAR(x) \rightarrow \lambda y \lambda x. POSS(x,y) \wedge CAR(x)$. This new relational noun combines with a prenominal possessive to derive a predicate that denotes a set of cars possessed by Petja $\lambda R \lambda x. R(x,p)$ ($\lambda y \lambda x. POSS(x,y) \wedge CAR(x)$)= $\lambda x. POSS(x,p) \wedge CAR(x)$. What mechanisms are used to derive argumental readings from predicates will be discussed in the talk.